



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,767	01/25/2001	Avishai Keren	150824.04	7766
22971 7590 01/05/2009 MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052-6399				
EXAMINER CZEKAJ, DAVID J				
ART UNIT 2621		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 01/05/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com
ntovar@microsoft.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte AVISHAI KEREN,
MEIR FEDER and OFIR PAZ

Application No. 09/770,767
Technology Center 2600

Mailed: January 2, 2009

Before KRISTA ZELE *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*
ZELE, *Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 14, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

Examiner Answer

The Examiner's Answer mailed July 31, 2007, does not fully comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 41.37. The following headings do not include all the requirements under these headings in accordance with MPEP § 1207.02:

“Response to Argument.” A statement of whether the examiner disagrees with each of the contentions of appellant in the brief with respect to the issues presented and an explanation of the reasons for disagreement with any such contention. The examiner must use headings and subheadings paralleling the headings and subheadings utilized in the appellant's brief.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) submit a revised Examiner's Answer in accordance with the new rules effective September 13, 2004; and
- 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/kis

MICROSOFT CORPORATION
ONE MICROSOFT WAY
REDMOND, WA 98052-6399